



**University of
Zurich**^{UZH}

**Zurich Open Repository and
Archive**

University of Zurich
University Library
Strickhofstrasse 39
CH-8057 Zurich
www.zora.uzh.ch

Year: 2008

Network meta-analysis of antiplatelet treatments for secondary stroke prevention

Baumgartner, R W

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehn109>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-15947>

Journal Article

Published Version

Originally published at:

Baumgartner, R W (2008). Network meta-analysis of antiplatelet treatments for secondary stroke prevention. *European Heart Journal*, 29(9):1082-1083.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehn109>

Network meta-analysis of antiplatelet treatments for secondary stroke prevention

Ralf W. Baumgartner*

Department of Neurology, University Hospital, Frauenklinikstr 26, CH-8091 Zürich, Switzerland

Online publish-ahead-of-print 20 March 2008

This editorial refers to ‘Network meta-analysis: simultaneous meta-analysis of common antiplatelet regimens after transient ischaemic attack or stroke’[†] by V. Thijs et al., on page 1086

Network meta-analysis is a fairly new method for assessing the relative effectiveness of two treatments when they have not been directly evaluated in a controlled randomized trial (CRT) but have each been compared with other treatments.¹ It allows an estimation of the heterogeneous effect of any given treatment and for inconsistency in the evidence from different pairs of treatments.¹ It has also been used to compare the efficacy of different therapies, such as the effect of antihypertensive drugs on health care outcomes² and on the incidence of diabetes mellitus,³ of antithrombotic treatment on stroke prevention in non-rheumatic atrial fibrillation,⁴ and of drug-eluting and bare-metal stents on outcome.⁵

The efficacy of antiplatelet agents in secondary stroke prevention has been investigated in CRTs comparing one antiplatelet agent with another and with a placebo, and a combination of two antiplatelet agents with a placebo and with another antiplatelet drug. Aspirin,⁶ ticlopidine,⁷ and dipyridamole and aspirin plus dipyridamole⁸ have been shown to be more effective than placebo alone. In contrast, a comparison of ticlopidine with aspirin produced contradictory results.^{9–11} A *post hoc* subgroup analysis of the Clopidogrel versus Aspirin in Patients at Risk of Ischaemic Events (CAPRIE) study showed no advantage of clopidogrel compared with aspirin alone in secondary stroke prevention.¹² However, CAPRIE was not set up for detecting significant differences in this subgroup.¹² The combination of aspirin and clopidogrel was not more effective than either aspirin alone¹³ or clopidogrel alone.¹⁴ In contrast, the European Stroke Prevention Study 2 (ESPS)⁸ and a meta-analysis¹⁵ have reported that aspirin plus dipyridamole is more effective than placebo, aspirin, or dipyridamole. The aspirin dose used in ESPS 2 (50 mg/day),⁸ and in ~50% of the patients of the European/Australasian Stroke Prevention in Reversible Ischaemia Trial (ESPRIT; 30–50 mg/day),¹⁵ was

<75 mg/day, which was not more effective than placebo in the meta-analysis of the Antithrombotic Trialists’ Collaboration.⁶ These findings indicate that further studies and/or analyses, which compare the aforementioned antithrombotic treatments, in particular aspirin plus dipyridamole with aspirin ≥ 75 mg/day and clopidogrel, are needed. Thijs et al.¹⁶ have addressed most of these questions using network meta-analysis. The authors compared the efficacy of antiplatelet agents and combinations of such agents (aspirin, aspirin plus dipyridamole, the thienopyridines ticlopidine and clopidogrel, and the combination of aspirin and thienopyridines) in the prevention of serious vascular events after stroke and transient ischaemic attacks (TIAs). As expected, all antiplatelet regimens were more effective than placebo, and aspirin plus dipyridamole was more effective than aspirin alone.¹⁶ Interestingly, the combination of aspirin with dipyridamole was also more effective than the thienopyridines (odds ratio, 0.84; 95% confidence interval, 0.73–0.97).¹⁶

Network meta-analysis has been criticized, because it may combine evidence from trials that are substantially different in design.¹⁷ Another limitation is the reliance on random effect methods for meta-analyses, which allow smaller studies a greater effect. Thereby, a small outlying trial can have undue influence. The Prevention Regimen for Effectively Avoiding Second Strokes (PROFESS) trial uses a 2×2 factorial design to compare the efficacy of aspirin plus dipyridamole with clopidogrel, and telmisartan with placebo for secondary stroke prevention in > 20 000 patients with ischaemic stroke.¹⁸ The PROFESS trial will allow the validity of the network meta-analysis of Thijs et al.¹⁶ to be evaluated.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

References

1. Lumley T. Network meta-analysis for indirect treatment comparisons. *Stat Med* 2002;**21**:2313–2324.
2. Psaty BM, Lumley T, Furberg C, Schellenbaum G, Pahor M, Alderman MH, Weiss NS. Health outcomes associated with

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the Editors of the *European Heart Journal* or of the European Society of Cardiology.

* Corresponding author. Tel: + 41 44 255 5686, Fax: + 41 44 255 8864, Email: ralf.baumgartner@usz.ch

[†] doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehn106

Published on behalf of the European Society of Cardiology. All rights reserved. © The Author 2008. For permissions please email: journals.permissions@oxfordjournals.org

- various antihypertensive therapies used as firstline agents: a network meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2003;**289**:2534–2544.
3. Elliott WJ, Meyer PM. Incident diabetes in clinical trials of antihypertensive drugs: a network meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2007;**369**: 201–207.
 4. Cooper NJ, Sutton AJ, Lu G, Khunti K. Mixed comparison of stroke prevention treatments in individuals with nonrheumatic atrial fibrillation. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;**166**:1269–1275.
 5. Stettler C, Wandel S, Allemann S, Kastrati A, Morice MC, Schömig A, Pfisterer ME, Stone GW, Leon MB, de Lezo JS, Goy JJ, Park SJ, Sabaté M, Suttrop MJ, Kelbaek H, Spaulding C, Menichelli M, Vermeersch P, Dirksen MT, Cervinka P, Petronio AS, Nordmann AJ, Diem P, Meier B, Zwahlen M, Reichenbach S, Trelle S, Windecker S, Juni P. Outcomes associated with drug-eluting and bare-metal stents: a collaborative network meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2007;**370**:937–948.
 6. Antithrombotic Trialists' Collaboration. Collaborative meta-analysis of randomised trials of antiplatelet therapy for prevention of death, myocardial infarction, and stroke in high risk patients. *BMJ* 2002;**324**:71–86.
 7. Gent M, Easton JD, Hachinski V, Panak E, Sicurella J, Blakely JA, Ellis DJ, Harbison JW, Roberts RS, Turpie AGG, for the CATS Group. The Canadian American Ticlopidine Study (CATS) in thromboembolic stroke. *Lancet* 1989;**i**:1215–1220.
 8. Diener HC, Cunha L, Forbes C, Sivenius J, Smets P, Lowenthal A. European stroke prevention study 2. Dipyridamole and acetylsalicylic acid in the secondary prevention of stroke. *J Neurol Sci* 1996;**143**:1–13.
 9. Hass WK, Easton JD, Adams HPJ, Pryse-Phillips W, Molony BA, Anderson S, Kamm B, for the Ticlopidine Aspirin Stroke Study Group. A randomized trial comparing ticlopidine hydrochloride with aspirin for the prevention of stroke in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med* 1989;**321**:501–507.
 10. Li Y, Li D, Wang L. A prospective randomized controlled study on ticlopidine with aspirin for the prevention of ischemic cerebral stroke. *J Clin Neurol (Chinese)* 2000;**13**:147–148.
 11. Gorelick PB, Richardson D, Kelly M, Ruland S, Hung E, Harris Y, Kittner S, Leurgans S. Aspirin and ticlopidine for prevention of recurrent stroke in black patients: a randomized trial. *JAMA* 2003;**289**:2947–2957.
 12. CAPRIE Steering Committee. A randomised, blinded, trial of Clopidogrel versus Aspirin in Patients at Risk of Ischaemic Events (CAPRIE). *Lancet* 1996;**348**:1329–1339.
 13. Bhatt DL, Fox KAA, Hacke W, Berger PB, Black HR, Boden WE, Cacoub P, Cohen EA, Creager MA, Easton JD, Flather MD, Haffner SM, Hamm CW, Hankey GJ, Johnston SC, Mak KH, Mas JL, Montalescot G, Pearson TA, Steg PG, Steinhilber SR, Weber MA, Brennan DM, Fabry-Ribaud L, Booth J, Topol EJ, for the CHARISMA Investigators. Clopidogrel and aspirin versus aspirin alone for the prevention of atherothrombotic events. *N Engl J Med* 2006;**354**:1706–1717.
 14. Diener HC, Bogousslavsky J, Brass LM, Cimminiello C, Csiba L, Kaste M, Leys D, Matias-Guiu J, Rupprecht HJ, on behalf of the MATCH investigators. Aspirin and clopidogrel compared with clopidogrel alone after recent ischaemic stroke or transient ischaemic attack in high-risk patients (MATCH): randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004;**364**:331–337.
 15. ESPRIT Study Group, Halkes PH, van Gijn J, Kappelle LJ, Koudstaal PJ, Algra A. Aspirin plus dipyridamole versus aspirin alone after cerebral ischaemia of arterial origin (ESPRIT): randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2006;**367**:1665–1673.
 16. Thijs V, Lemmens R, Fieuws S. Network meta-analysis: simultaneous meta-analysis of common antiplatelet regimens after transient ischaemic attack or stroke. *Eur Heart J* 2008;**29**: 1086–1092. First published on March 18, 2008. doi:10.1093/eurheartj/ehn106.
 17. Pocock SJ. Comment on: safety of drug-eluting stents: demystifying network meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2007;**370**:937–948.
 18. Diener HC, Sacco R, Yusuf S. Rationale, design and baseline data of a randomized, double-blind, controlled trial comparing two antithrombotic regimens (a fixed-dose combination of extended-release dipyridamole plus asa with clopidogrel) and telmisartan versus placebo in patients with strokes: the prevention regimen for effectively avoiding second strokes trial (PROFESS). *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2007;**23**:368–380.